

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/600,594		09/07/2000	Milton F. Ferreira	3673-3	5221
23117	7590	02/27/2003			
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER		
1100 N GLEI 8TH FLOOR		D		LUDLOW	/, JAN M
ARLINGTON	ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1743	
				DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

No. of the second			00					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	14)					
Advisory Action	09/600,594	FERREIRA ET AL.						
	Examin r	Art Unit	•					
	Jan M. Ludlow	1743						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in								
 (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. ☑ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 February 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 								
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		The Market						

Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Limitations to, "solvent to extract the drug", "at the same time", "in a single step", "recovering at least 97%...", "by methods, other than immunochemistry" and "obtaining drug levels down to at least 0.3 ug/ml" are new issues found in claims 22 and 32.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Meucci teaches that the sample preparation can be used for other than immunoassay; see, e.g., col. 2, lines 38-39. Meucci teaches a specific example that teaches or suggests a method which satisifes the broader limitations of the instant claims. That Meucci requires additional steps/reagents is IMMATERIAL because the instant claims do not PRECLUDE the additional steps/reagents, i.e., the claim language is "open" by virtue of the use of the word "comprising". The precipitation step takes place in a single step--see, e.g., col. 6, line 65- col. 7, line 8.

Continuation of 10. Other: The drawings filed 9/7/200 with the filing of the application are objected to as being informal as was noted in paper no. 8, paragraph 1. .